Ms Fiona Mackenzie
Chief Executive
Forth Valley NHS Board
Carseview House
The Castle Business Park
STIRLING
FK9 4SW

16 July 2012

Dear Ms Mackenzie

### Annual letter 2011-12: complaints to SPSO about Forth Valley NHS Board

This letter contains the SPSO's complaints statistics about your board for the 2011-12 financial year. It gives information about the numbers of complaints that we received and determined about your board. It also highlights the number of premature complaints (those that came to this office too early before completing your complaints process) and those complaints that were 'fit for SPSO' (i.e. valid for investigation by SPSO) and their outcome.

Please note that if the number of complaints for your board is very low, the data is unlikely to be statistically meaningful for comparing with that of other bodies, though it may be useful for your board to reflect on its own year-on-year statistics.

# Trends in complaints

Last year, I stated my disappointment at the unacceptably high level of upheld and premature complaints about all sectors that were determined by SPSO. In 2011-12, the level of overall upheld complaints - those that were 'fit for SPSO' and where I upheld all or part of the complaint - went up from 34% in 2010-11 to 39%. In the health sector, it rose from 45% to 56%, a trend which I find worrying. These complaints have been looked at in great depth by boards prior to my involvement, and yet in more than half of cases, I am still finding fault.

The overall level of premature complaints fell from 45% in 2010-11 to 43% in 2011-12. In the health sector it remained constant at 31%.

In this letter, I draw attention to the figures of upheld and premature complaints for your board.

#### **Statistics**

- Comprehensive statistical information about all the sectors under our remit is available at the following link: www.spso.org.uk/statistics. In summary, in 2011-12, the SPSO:
- received **3,918** complaints (**12% more** than last year)
- received **1,002** complaints about health boards (**13% more** than last year)
- resolved **3,748** complaints (**12% more** than last year)
- resolved **937** complaints about health boards (**12% more** than last year)

The attached pages provide information about the complaints we handled about your health board in 2011-12. The first table shows complaints received by primary subject area, both about your board and overall about boards, for the past two years. The second table shows the outcomes of the complaints we handled about your board in the past two years. It also highlights the rate of premature and fully/partly upheld complaints and overall rates for boards in the past two years.

The upheld/partly upheld outcomes relate to complaints that were 'fit for SPSO' (i.e. valid for investigation by SPSO) and which were determined at the Early Resolution (ER) 2 or Investigation (INV) 1 or 2 stages of our process. ER2 and INV 1 are investigations that conclude in a decision letter, and INV 2 concludes in a full investigation report. Since June 2011, we have published outcomes of complaints that were 'fit for SPSO' on our website. These are searchable (by sector, organisation, subject etc) and can be accessed at http://www.spso.org.uk/our-findings.

## The Complaints Standards Authority (CSA)

A strong focus of our work over the past year has been on improving standards of complaints handling across all sectors, with an emphasis on early resolution by organisations. As you are aware, we have based much of the published model complaints handling procedures (CHPs) for other sectors on the NHS's simplified, standardised process.

The CSA fed directly into the development of the revised NHS 'Can I Help You' guidance, published in April 2012, through the Scottish Government's Complaints Review Group.

Whilst this guidance continues to provide a good model for NHS complaints handling, with a strong focus on early resolution, we will monitor best practice and complaints performance with a view to possible revisions to the guidance in future years under the SPSO's powers to publish model CHPs. Any changes will, of course, be in line with the terms of the Patient Rights (Scotland) Act 2011 and associated secondary legislation and would be undertaken in full consultation with the sector.

There is much more information about the model CHPs and a wealth of advice, support and guidance on the CSA's website at <a href="https://www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk">www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk</a>.

### Using complaints information

The statistics we give here should help your board reflect on and identify ways to improve your complaints handling, and it may be helpful to consider:

- what action you can take to promote early resolution of complaints
- what you can do to reduce the level of premature complaints
- whether the subject of complaints brought to SPSO meet your expectations
- any variance in complaints figures across your departments
- how to share the learning from our recommendations with staff across the organisation
- how our recommendations are monitored and actioned at senior level and how service changes and improvements are managed
- how you tell service users about action taken to improve services as a result of complaints resolved locally and through SPSO recommendations.

As ever, I value feedback about our work and would welcome any comments about this summary or any other aspect of our service.

Yours sincerely

Jim Martin Ombudsman

	Forth	Valley	/				
Complaints received by subject 2011-12	Forth Valley NHS Board	Dentists & Dental Practices	GP & GP Practices	Total	Complaints as % of total	Sector Total	Complaints as % of total
Admission, discharge & transfer procedures					0%	13	
Appliances, equipment & premises					0%	4	0%
Appointments/Admissions (delay, cancellation, waiting lists)	1			1	2%	32	3%
Clinical treatment / Diagnosis	18	1	5	24	52%	436	44%
Communication, staff attitude, dignity, confidentiality	4			4	9%	93	9%
Complaints handling	2			2	4%	34	3%
Continuing care					0%	6	1%
Failure to send ambulance/delay in sending ambulance					0%	4	0%
Hotel services - food, laundry etc					0%	1	0%
Hygiene, cleanliness & infection control					0%	3	0%
Lists					0%	19	2%
Nurses / Nursing Care	1			1	2%	10	1%
Other			1	1	2%	10	1%
Out Of Jurisdiction					0%	9	1%
Policy/administration	5			5	11%	104	10%
Record Keeping					0%	7	1%
Subject Unknown	8			8	17%	217	22%
Sector Total	39	1	6	46	100%	1002	100%
Complaints as % of sector	4%	0%	1%	5%			

	Forth Valley					1		
Complaints received by subject 2010-11	Forth Valley NHS Board	Forth Valley Dentists	Forth Valley GPs	Forth valley pharmacists	Forth Valley Area Total	Complaints as % of total	Sector Total	Complaints as % of total
Admission, discharge & transfer procedures	0	0	0	0	0	0%	9	1%
Appliances, equipment & premises	0	0	0	0	0	0%	5	1%
Appointments/Admissions (delay, cancellation, waiting lists)	0	1	0	0	1	3%	35	4%
Clinical treatment / Diagnosis	11	1	3	0	15	38%	402	45%
Communication, staff attitude, dignity, confidentiality	4	0	1	0	5	13%	64	7%
Complaints handling	1	0	0	0	1	3%	27	3%
Continuing care	0	0	0	0	0	0%	3	0%
Failure to send ambulance/delay in sending ambulance	0	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0%
Hotel services - food, laundry etc	0	0	0	0	0	0%	4	0%
Hygiene, cleanliness & infection control	0	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0%
Lists (incl difficulty registering and removal from lists)	0	1	1	0	2	5%	20	2%
Nurses / Nursing Care	1	0	1	0	2	5%	13	1%
Other	0	0	0	1	1	3%	8	1%
Policy/administration	6	0	0	0	6	15%	143	16%
Record Keeping	1	0	0	0	1	3%	10	1%
Out Of Jurisdiction	0	0	0	0	0	0%	1	0%
Subject Unknown	4	0	1	0	5	13%	142	16%
Total Complaints	28	3	7	1	39	100%	888	100%
Complaints as % of sector	3%	0%	1%	0%	4%		100%	

Health Complaints I	Determined 2011-12	Forth	Valley	,		
Stage	Closure Category	Forth Valley NHS Board	Dentist	GP	Total	Sector Total
Advice	Complaint - Body out of jurisdiction					1
Navioo	Complaint - Matter out of jurisdiction					4
	(discretionary)					·
	Complaint - Matter out of jurisdiction (non-					14
	discretionary)					
	Complaint - No decision reached	9			9	225
	Complaint - Outcome not achievable	1			2	9
	Complaint - Premature	12	1		13	259
	Total	22	1		24	512
Early Resolution 1	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	1			1	29
,	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)			1	1	15
	No decision reached	2			2	38
	Outcome not achievable					16
	Premature	3			3	29
	Total	6		1	7	127
Early Resolution 2	Complaint fully upheld					11
,	Complaint not upheld					34
	Complaint partly upheld	1			1	14
	No decision reached					8
	Total	1			1	67
Investigation 1	Complaint fully upheld					34
· ·	Complaint not upheld	2		1	3	83
	Complaint partly upheld	3		2	5	67
	No decision reached					2
	Total	5		3	8	186
Investigation 2	Complaint fully upheld					22
· ·	Complaint not upheld					2
	Complaint partly upheld	1		2	3	18
	No decision reached					1
	Total	1		2	3	43
Total Complaints		35	1	6	43	936
Premature total		15	1	0	16	288
Premature %		43%	100%	0%	37%	31%
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2, In	nv 1 & 2)	7	0	5	12	296
Total uphold/partly uphold:	*	5	0	4	9	166
% Upholds/Partly Upholds		71%		80%	75%	56%
. , , , , , , , ,						

Health Complaints Determined 2010-11		Forth Valley						
Stage	Closure Category	ch Forth Valley NHS Board	► Forth Valley Dentists	Forth Valley GPs	O Forth Valley pharmacists	✓ Forth Valley area total	818 Sector Total	
	Premature  Body Out of Jurisdiction	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Advice	Out of Jurisdiction (Discretionary) Out of Jurisdiction (Non-Discretionary) Outcome Not Achievable No Decision Reached Other Total	0 0 0 11 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 3	1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 13 0	5 8 3 216 0 450	
Early Resolution 1	Premature Body Out of Jurisdiction Out of Jurisdiction (Discretionary) Out of Jurisdiction (Non-Discretionary) Outcome Not Achievable No Decision Reached Total	0 0 2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 0 0 3	37 0 28 9 18 28 120	
Early Resolution 2	Premature Out of Jurisdiction (Discretionary) Out of Jurisdiction (Non-Discretionary) Outcome Not Achievable No Decision Reached Fully Upheld Partly Upheld Not Upheld Total	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2	5 8 1 2 12 10 14 46 98	
Investigation 1	Outcome Not Achievable No Decision Reached Fully Upheld Partly Upheld Not Upheld Total	0 0 0 1 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2 3	2 10 34 21 64 131	
Investigation 2	No Decision Reached Fully Upheld Partly Upheld Not Upheld Total	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 21 15 3 41	
Total Complaints		<b>23</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	0	<b>32</b>	260	
Premature total Premature %		5 22%	33%	20%	0%	22%	31%	
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2, Total uphold/partly uphol % Upholds/Partly Uphold	lds	4 3 75%	2 0 0%	2 1 50%	0	8 4 50%	270 115 43%	