Bob Jack Chief Executive Stirling Council Viewforth STIRLING FK8 2ET

16 July 2012

Dear Mr Jack

# Annual letter 2011-12: complaints to SPSO about Stirling Council

This letter contains the SPSO's complaints statistics about your council for the 2011-12 financial year. It gives information about the numbers of complaints that we received and determined about your council. It also highlights the number of premature complaints (those that came to this office too early, before completing your complaints process) and those complaints that were 'fit for SPSO' (i.e. valid for investigation by SPSO) and their outcome.

Please note that if the number of complaints for your council is very low, the data is unlikely to be statistically meaningful for comparing with that of other bodies, though it may be useful for your council to reflect on its own year-on-year statistics.

#### Trends in complaints

Last year, I stated my disappointment at the unacceptably high level of upheld and premature complaints about all sectors that were determined by SPSO. In 2011-12, the level of overall upheld complaints - those that were 'fit for SPSO' and where I upheld all or part of the complaint - went up from 34% in 2010-11 to 39%. In the local authority sector the level of upheld complaints rose from 29% to 32%. These complaints have been looked at in great detail by local authorities prior to my involvement, and yet in around a third of cases, I am still finding fault.

The overall level of premature complaints fell from 45% to 43% and I note that in the local government sector the level fell from 55% to 52%.

In this letter, I draw attention to the figures of upheld and premature complaints for your council.

#### Statistics

Comprehensive statistical information about all the sectors under our remit is available at the following link: <u>www.spso.org.uk/statistics</u>. In summary, in 2011-12, the SPSO:

- received **3,918** complaints (**12% more** than last year)
- received 1,527 complaints about local authorities (5% less than last year)
- resolved **3,748** complaints (**12% more** than last year)
- resolved 1,497 complaints about local authorities (4% less than last year)

The attached pages provide information about the complaints we handled about your council in 2011-12. The first table shows complaints received by primary subject area, both about your council and overall about councils, for the past two years. The second table shows the outcomes of the complaints we handled about your council in the past two years. It also highlights the rate of premature and fully/partly upheld complaints and overall rates for councils in the past two years.

The upheld/partly upheld outcomes relate to complaints that were 'fit for SPSO' (i.e. valid for investigation by SPSO) and which were determined at the Early Resolution (ER) 2 or Investigation (INV) 1 or 2 stages of our process. ER2 and INV 1 are investigations that conclude in a decision letter, and INV 2 concludes in a full investigation report. Since June 2011, we have published outcomes of complaints that were 'fit for SPSO' on our website. These are searchable (by sector, organisation, subject etc) and can be accessed at http://www.spso.org.uk/our-findings.

### The Complaints Standards Authority (CSA)

A strong focus of our work over the past year has been on improving standards of complaints handling across all sectors, with an emphasis on early resolution by organisations. As you are aware, in the course of 2011 our complaints standards authority developed, through a working group of local authority representatives, a model complaints handling procedure (CHP) for councils, which was published on 28 March 2012. Under the

terms of the SPSO Act 2002 all councils now have a duty to comply with the model CHP and to submit compliant CHPs, or detailed plans for implementation, to the SPSO by 14 September 2012. Positively, indications are that most are moving towards compliance or have a compliant CHP ready for implementation. Compliance will be monitored by Audit Scotland in conjunction with the SPSO and in line with the principles of the Shared Risk Assessment (SRA) arrangements. There is much more information about the model CHP and a wealth of advice, support and guidance on the CSA's website at <u>www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk</u>.

## Using complaints information

Under the model CHP, councils are obliged to adopt a more rigorous and systematic approach to gathering and using information about complaints. From 2013 your council will be required to provide more detailed information on your complaints performance, through performance indicators being built into existing self-assessment arrangements, and the information in this annual letter will form just one part of the complaints reporting your council provides. A list of these indicators was provided in the model CHP implementation guide sent to you in March 2012. The statistics we give here should help your council reflect on and identify ways to improve your complaints handling, and it may be helpful to consider:

- what action you can take to promote early resolution of complaints, monitoring in particular the balance between complaints resolved at the frontline and those escalated through the process
- what you can do to reduce the level of premature complaints
- whether the subjects of complaints brought to SPSO meet your expectations
- any variance in complaints figures across your departments
- how to share the learning from our recommendations with staff across the organisation
- how our recommendations are monitored and actioned at senior level and how service changes and improvements are managed
- how you tell service users about action taken to improve services as a result of complaints resolved locally and through SPSO recommendations.

As ever, I value feedback about our work and would welcome any comments about this summary or any other aspect of our service.

Yours sincerely

James B Mart

Jim Martin Ombudsman

Complaints received by subject 2011-12		Complaints as % of total	Total	Complaints as % of total
Building Control	1	6%	42	3%
Consumer protection	0	0%	10	1%
Education	0	0%	77	5%
Environmental Health & Cleansing	0	0%	40	3%
Finance	0	0%	73	5%
Fire & Police Boards	0	0%	1	0%
Housing	1	6%	341	22%
Land & Property	0	0%	30	2%
Legal & admin	1	6%	44	3%
National Park Authorities	0	0%	1	0%
Other	0	0%	12	1%
Personnel	0	0%	11	1%
Planning	1	6%	210	14%
Recreation & Leisure	0	0%	23	2%
Roads & Transport	2	13%	96	6%
Social Work	1	6%	182	12%
Valuation Joint Boards	0	0%	9	1%
Subject Unknown or Out Of Jurisdiction	9	56%	325	21%
Total	16	100%	1527	100%
Complaints as % of sector	1%		100%	

Complaints received by subject 2010-11		Complaints as % of total	Total	Complaints as % of total
Building Control	0	0%	50	3%
Consumer protection	0	0%	8	0%
Economic development	0	0%	3	0%
Education	1	3%	102	6%
Environmental Health & Cleansing	1	3%	54	3%
Finance	1	3%	122	8%
Fire & Police Boards	0	0%	1	0%
Housing	8	25%	343	21%
Land & Property	1	3%	33	2%
Legal & admin	2	6%	60	4%
National Park Authorities	0	0%	5	0%
Other	1	3%	15	1%
Personnel	0	0%	14	1%
Planning	4	13%	241	15%
Recreation & Leisure	0	0%	25	2%
Roads & Transport	2	6%	98	6%
Social Work	7	22%	226	14%
Valuation Joint Boards	0	0%	12	1%
Subject Unknown or Out of Jurisdiction	4	13%	192	12%
Total	32	100%	1604	100%
Complaints as % of sector	2%		100%	

Local Authority	Complaints	Determined 2011-2012	
Local Autionity	Complaints		

Local Authority Co	omplaints Determined 2011-2012		
		Jail	=
		Stirling Counci	Sector Tota
		Ú	Ē
		ling	ţ
Stage	Closure Category	Stir	Sec
Advice	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	0	18
	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	0	20
	No decision reached	3	258
	Outcome not achievable	0	10
	Premature	11	729
Advice Total		14	1035
Early Resolution 1	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	0	53
	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	0	43
	No decision reached	0	52
	Outcome not achievable	0	28
	Premature	0	51
Early Resolution 1	Total	0	227
Early Resolution 2	Fully Upheld	0	10
	No decision reached	0	3
	Not upheld	2	78
	Partly Upheld	0	14
Early Resolution 2		2	105
Investigation 1	Fully Upheld	0	6
	No decision reached	1	12
	Not upheld	1	63
	Partly Upheld	0	38
Investigation 1 To		2	119
Investigation 2	Fully Upheld	0	6
	No decision reached	0	2
	Not upheld	0	2
	Partly Upheld	0	1
Investigation 2 To	tal	0	11
Total		18	1497
Premature total		11	780
Premature %		61%	52%
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2	, Inv 1 & 2)	4	235
Total uphold/partly upho	lds	0	75
% Upholds/Partly Uphol	ds of Fit for SPSO	0%	32%

		Stirling Council	Sector Total
Stage	Closure Category		
Advice	Premature	14	768
	Body Out of Jurisdiction	0	0
	Out of Jurisdiction (Discretionary)	0	8
	Out of Jurisdiction (Non-Discretionary)	1	28
	Outcome Not Achievable	0	13
	No Decision Reached	8	249
	Other	0	0
	Total	23	1066
Early Resolution 1	Premature	1	78
	Body Out of Jurisdiction	0	0
	Out of Jurisdiction (Discretionary)	0	41
	Out of Jurisdiction (Non-Discretionary)	0	44
	Outcome Not Achievable	0	24
	No Decision Reached	0	41
	Total	1	228
Early Resolution 2	Premature	2	13
	Out of Jurisdiction (Discretionary)	0	9
	Out of Jurisdiction (Non-Discretionary)	0	1
	Outcome Not Achievable	0	1
	No Decision Reached	0	11
	Fully Upheld	0	10
	Partly Upheld	0	8
	Not Upheld	1	60
	Total	3	113
Investigation 1	Outcome Not Achievable	0	0
	No Decision Reached	0	7
	Fully Upheld	0	27
	Partly Upheld	0	16
	Not Upheld	2	89
	Total	2	139
Investigation 2	No Decision Reached	0	0
	Fully Upheld	0	6
	Partly Upheld	0	5
	Not Upheld	0	5
	Total	0	16
Total Complaints		29	1562
			-
Premature Total		17	85
Premature %		59%	559
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2	, Inv 1 & 2)	5	268
Total uphold/partly upholds			
Total uphold/partly upho	lds	0	72