

4 Melville Street Edinburgh EH3 7NS Tel 0800 377 7330 Fax 0800 377 7331 Web www.spso.org.uk

6 September 2013

Mr Mark Powles Chief Executive Business Stream 7 Lochside View EDINBURGH EH12 9DH

Dear Mr Powles

Annual letter 2012/13

This year, for the first time, we are publishing sectoral complaints reports. A copy of the water report is enclosed with this letter, which also provides statistics about water complaints to SPSO in 2012/13.

As I highlight in the report's introduction, 2012/13 is our first full reporting year for complaints about water providers. In last year's annual report, we concentrated on the transfer of water complaints to SPSO from Waterwatch. Water complaints are now fully integrated into our business processes and we are able to reflect in more detail on these.

I would also draw to your attention the section in the report about the statutory duty of water providers, like all bodies under our jurisdiction, to comply with the SPSO's *Statement of Complaints Handling Principles* which was approved by Parliament in February 2011. As a consequence of this, in 2013/14, our Complaints Standards Authority will be working with you to bring your complaints handling procedures in line with the wider public sector while retaining a focus on the water industry's specific characteristics.

The complaints statistics that we enclose should form part of a much more detailed and responsive picture of complaints that your organisation publishes. I anticipate that the statistics we make available here will be useful in helping you demonstrate to your customers how you value complaints and use them to drive improvement.

I look forward to continuing to work with you to improve the quality of complaints handling in Scotland.

Yours sincerely

James B Mart

Jim Martin Ombudsman

Explanatory note to SPSO statistics

In light of feedback received last year, we have expanded our explanations and answered some frequently asked questions. If you have any further queries, please contact our Casework Knowledge Manager, Annie Shanahan, at <u>ashanahan@spso.org.uk</u>, or by calling 0131 240 8843.

Statistics

The following tables show the complaints we handled about your organisation in 2012/13. **Table 1** shows complaints **received** by main subject area, both about your organisation and overall in your sector, for the past two years. **Table 2** shows the **outcomes** of the complaints we handled about your organisation for the same period. It also highlights the rate of premature and fully/partly upheld complaints and overall rates for your sector over the past two years.

As a result of last year's feedback, we have amended the table showing complaints received. For 2012/13, these are shown ranked from the most received to the least. For comparison, we have added each subject's ranking in 2011/12 to that year's table.

Subjects of complaint and outcomes

The feedback included a number of questions about comparing tables 1 and 2, and we want to make it clear that they provide statistics for two quite different stages of our work. **Table 1** describes the subjects about which we **received** complaints between 1 April 2012 and 30 March 2013, while **Table 2** shows information about the **outcomes** of the complaints that we handled over the same period. The two figures are unlikely to tally, especially where complaints numbers are relatively large. This is because at the end of each business year we are still working on some of the complaints received during that year.

Frequently asked questions

What are complaints that are 'fit for SPSO'?

These are complaints that were valid for us to investigate. This normally means that they have gone through the complaints process of your organisation, and are about something that the law allows us to look at.

What does 'determined' mean?

Determined complaints are those that we have looked at and for which we have closed our file. We will either have given the person a decision by letter or public report, or told them that we can't investigate their complaint.

What are 'upheld' complaints?

Upheld and partly upheld complaints are ones where we investigated, and found that something had gone wrong. In all cases, the complaint was fit for SPSO, and we gave a decision at the Early Resolution (ER2) or Investigation (INV1 or 2) stages of our process. (ER2 and INV1 cases are investigations that end with us sending a decision letter to you and to the complainant). In the majority of these cases we also publish a short summary of the complaint and its outcome on our website. INV 2 are cases that meet our public interest criteria and are published in full.)

How do you define a premature complaint?

It's a complaint that's been sent to us too early - i.e. before it has completed your complaints process.

Would you ever take a complaint before it completes our process?

Yes, but only where we think the circumstances are appropriate. The most likely examples would be where we conclude that you have delayed unreasonably in responding, or where the person who's complained appears to be particularly vulnerable. But this only happens in a very small number of cases. We normally expect people to complete your complaints process to allow you to respond to the matters raised, and we will normally tell them to contact you if they haven't.

I don't seem to know about all of the complaints that you've counted as premature. Why?

There are several possible reasons. We don't write to you about all the premature cases we receive (see the next question for more information about this). When we refer someone back to the complaints process, you may resolve the matter to the person's satisfaction without necessarily knowing that it came to us first.

Alternatively, the person may, after we've told them they need to go through your process, decide not to take it further. People often bring us issues that are premature, but that are also outwith our jurisdiction, or where they're asking for an outcome that we can't achieve. When we reply, we'll tell them that we're not looking at it because it's premature, but we also explain that even if they go back through your process, it's unlikely we'd take the complaint up because of the other issue. For example, if they're asking us to change a planning decision or if it's a personnel-related matter we'd explain that we couldn't do that at all, whether or not they went through your process. It's then for the complainant to decide what to do next.

When do you tell us about premature complaints?

We determine many of these very quickly (within one or two days of receiving them). This normally happens where the complaint has clearly come to us too early and there's little or no information with it. We record these on our computer system, but don't open a file. In most cases we simply return the letter explaining that they've sent us the complaint too soon and that they need to complain to you. We don't normally tell you about these, and we usually have only minimal information about the complaint ourselves.

In cases where the person has sent us information, but the complainant doesn't appear to have completed your complaints process, we'll open a paper file. We'd normally then write to you explaining that the matter has come to us too soon, and we've told the person to take the complaint back to you. We then close our file, which we can reopen if the person completes your process and brings the complaint back to us.

Can you provide a more detailed breakdown of the premature complaints received for my organisation?

We can provide numbers and general categories of complaints received prematurely. These are broken down into two areas – complaints that do not appear to have been made to you at all, and those that have started but not completed your process. (We don't record which point in your process they've reached – usually we don't know this.) We can usually identify the department and the subject matter involved, but at this early stage categorisation may not be accurate because of the lack of detailed information.

The categories of complaints on your letter don't match those in our records - does this mean that our statistics are wrong?

We have our own method of categorising the complaints we receive, which is not based on those of any particular organisation. If you would like an explanation of a particular category, please contact us.

Business Stream Complaints Received or Reopened

	2012-13					2011-12						
Subject Group	Business Stream	rank	as % of BS total	Water Total	rank	as % of Water total	Business Stream	rank	as % of BS total	Water Total	rank	as % of Water total
Billing and Charging	158	1	66%	167	1	47%	80	1	51%	89	1	28%
Water Supply	20	2	8%	51	2	14%	11	2	7%	42	3	13%
Customer Service	4	3	2%	10	4	3%	7	3	4%	17	4	5%
Waste Water	2	4	1%	26	3	7%	2	4	1%	45	2	14%
New Connections	2	4	1%	6	6	2%	1	5	1%	4	7	1%
Other	1	6	0%	8	5	2%	7	3	4%	17	4	5%
Environmental Concerns	0	7	0%	2	7	1%	0	7	0%	6	6	2%
Out Of Jurisdiction	0	-	0%	2	-	1%	0	-	0%	0	-	0%
Subject Unknown	52	-	22%	81	-	23%	48	-	31%	98	-	31%
Total	239		100%	353		100%	156		100%	318		100%
complaints as % of sector	68%						49%					

Business Stream Complaints Determined

		2012-	·13	2011-12	
		Business	Water	Business	Water
Stage	Outcome Group	Stream	Total	Stream	Total
Advice	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	2	5	0	0
	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	1	4	0	1
	No decision reached	42	74	32	59
	Outcome not achievable	0	2	1	2
	Premature	82	124	75	150
	Total	127	209	108	212
Early Resolution 1	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	2	6	0	1
	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	4	7	0	1
Early Resolution 2	No decision reached *	6	12	1	11
	Outcome not achievable	2	6	0	2
	Premature	6	9	3	3
	Total	20	9 3 40 4 4 1 11 1 4 25	18	
Early Resolution 2	Fully upheld	4	4	1	2
	Partly upheld	8	11	1	4
	Not upheld	14	25	5	11
	Outcome not achievable	0	0	0	1
	No decision reached *	1	5	3	6
	Total	27	45	Business Stream 0 0 322 1 755 108 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 3 4 1 1 5 0 0	24
Investigation 1	Fully upheld	9	15	4	6
Investigation 1	Partly upheld	12	14	4	6
	Not upheld	17	22	3	5
	No decision reached *	1	2	-	0
	Total	39	53	11	17
Investigation 2	Fully upheld	0	0	0	0
	Partly upheld	0	0		0
	Not upheld	0	0	0	0
	No decision reached *	0	0	-	0
	Total	0	0		0
Total Complaints	laints 213 347 1				271

*Note - 'No decision reached' includes complaints not duly made, withdrawn and resolved

Total Premature Complaints	88	133	78	153
Premature Rate	41%	38%	59%	56%
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2, Inv1 & Inv2)	66	98	21	41
Total complaints upheld (fully or partly)	33	44	10	18
Uphold Rate (Upheld % total Fit for SPSO)	50%	45%	48%	44%