

4 Melville Street Edinburgh EH3 7NS

Tel 0800 377 7330 Fax 0800 377 7331 Web www.spso.org.uk

Mr Robert Calderwood Chief Executive Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Board JB Russell House Gartnavel Royal Hospital 1055 Great Western Road GLASGOW G12 0XH

30 October 2014

Dear Mr Calderwood

I am pleased to send you our annual health complaints report, along with statistics about complaints to SPSO about your board in 2013-14.

# Key points from 2013-14 annual report

- 11.5% rise in health complaints
- 55% of complaints upheld
- A welcome continuing decrease in complaints coming to us too early.

### **Supporting improvement**

There still remains much to be done to improve complaints handling, and I am pleased that the Scottish Health Council has recommended that we work with them to develop a more succinctly modelled, standardised and person-centred complaints process for NHS Scotland in addition to a number of other recommendations made in relation to wider aspects of complaints handling. We look forward to continuing discussions with NHS stakeholders on progressing this work.

Over the last year, we have further strengthened our engagement with health boards, regulators, improvement and other scrutiny bodies. We have also derived great value from our customer and NHS sounding boards.

# Looking forward

My report also draws attention to issues where I hope to see movement in the coming year. I would highlight the following:

- My continuing concern about the time it is taking for coherent complaints procedures to be put in place for services delivered under the integrated health and social care models, with conflicting statutory processes remaining in place for these services.
- The barriers that some prisoners are still facing in accessing the NHS complaints procedure.

In summary, I hope this report will support you in providing a context in which to analyse your own board's complaints handling performance and in helping us all contribute to an NHS in Scotland of which we can be proud.

Yours sincerely

Jim Martin Ombudsman

Tel: 0131 240 8850 (Fiona Paterson, Personal Assistant)

Email: <a href="mailto:fpaterson@spso.org.uk">fpaterson@spso.org.uk</a>

The explanations below are designed to answer the main questions around these statistics. If you have any further queries, please contact our Casework Knowledge Manager, Annie Shanahan, at <a href="mailto:ashanahan@spso.org.uk">ashanahan@spso.org.uk</a>, or by calling 0131 240 8843.

## **Statistics**

The tables show the complaints we handled about your organisation in 2013/14. **Table 1** shows complaints **received** by main subject area, both about your organisation and overall in your sector, for the past two years. **Table 2** shows the **outcomes** of the complaints we handled about your organisation for the same period. It also highlights the rate of premature and fully/partly upheld complaints and overall rates for your sector over the past two years. Complaints received are shown ranked from the most received to the least.

# Subjects of complaint and outcomes

Tables 1 and 2 provide statistics for two quite different stages of our work. **Table 1** describes the subjects about which we **received** complaints between 1 April 2013 and 30 March 2014, and shows your organisation's figures beside the figures for the sector as a whole. **Table 2** shows information about the **outcomes** of the complaints that we determined over the same period. The figures of complaints received and determined are unlikely to tally, especially where complaints numbers are relatively large. This is because at the end of each business year we are still working on some of the complaints received during that year.

### Frequently asked questions

# What are complaints that are 'fit for SPSO'?

These are complaints that were valid for us to investigate. This normally means that they have gone through the complaints process of your organisation, and are about something that the law allows us to look at.

#### What does 'determined' mean?

Determined complaints are those that we have looked at and for which we have closed our file. We will have given the person a decision by letter or public report, or will have explained why we didn't investigate their complaint.

### What are 'upheld' complaints?

Complaints where the outcome was 'upheld' or 'some upheld' are those where we investigated, and found that something went wrong. To recognise the validity of the complainant's experience, we uphold complaints wherever we find fault, even if this has already been recognised by the organisation. People come to us for an external, independent judgement and if we find something went wrong it is important for the complainant that we acknowledge this. We also include how the organisation responded to the complaint and any action that they took to put things right. Where an organisation responded well, we may also commend them for acknowledging the mistakes and the action they took to resolve this for the complainant.

All these complaints were 'fit for SPSO', and we gave a decision on them at the early resolution (ER2) or investigation (INV1 or 2) stages of our process. ER2 and INV1 cases are investigations that ended with us sending you and the complainant a decision letter. We also published a short summary of most of these complaints and their outcomes on our website. INV 2 are cases that meet our public interest criteria and are published in full.

### How do you define a premature complaint?

It's a complaint that's been sent to us too early - i.e. before it has completed your complaints process.

## Would you ever take a complaint before it completes our process?

Yes, but only where we think the circumstances are appropriate. This only happens in a very small number of cases. The most likely examples would be where we think that you have delayed unreasonably in responding, or where the person who's complained appears to be particularly vulnerable. We normally expect people to complete your complaints process to allow you to respond to the matters raised, and we will normally tell them to contact you if they haven't.

# I don't seem to know about all of the complaints that you've counted as premature. Why?

There are several possible reasons. We don't write to you about all the premature cases we receive (see the next question for more information about this). When we refer someone back to the complaints process, you may then resolve the problem to the person's satisfaction without knowing that it came to us first.

Or the person may, after we've told them they need to go through your process, decide not to take it further. People often bring us issues that are premature, but that are also outwith our jurisdiction, or where they're asking for an outcome we can't achieve. When we reply, we'll tell them that we're not looking at it because it's premature, but we also explain that even if they go back through your process, it's unlikely we'd take the complaint up for another reason. For example, if they're asking us to change a planning decision or if it's a personnel-related matter we'd explain that we couldn't do that at all, whether or not they went through your process. It's then for the complainant to decide what to do next.

### When do you tell us about premature complaints?

We determine many of these very quickly (within one or two days of receiving them). This normally happens where the complaint has clearly come to us too early and there's little or no information with it. We record these on our computer system, but don't open a file. In most cases we simply return the letter explaining that they've sent us the complaint too soon and that they need to complain to you. We don't normally tell you about these, and we usually have only minimal information about the complaint ourselves.

In cases where the person has sent us information, but the complainant doesn't appear to have completed your complaints process, we'll open a paper file. We'd normally then write to you explaining that the matter has come to us too soon, and we've told the person to take the complaint back to you. We then close our file, which we can reopen if the person completes your process and brings the complaint back to us.

# Can you provide a more detailed breakdown of the premature complaints for my organisation?

We can provide numbers and general categories of complaints received prematurely. These are broken down into two areas – complaints that do not appear to have been made to you at all, and those that have started but not completed your process. (We don't record which point in your process they've reached, as usually we don't know this.) We can usually identify the department and the subject matter involved, but at this early stage categorisation may not be accurate because of the lack of detailed information.

# The categories of complaints on your letter don't match those in our records - does this mean that our statistics are wrong?

We have our own method of categorising the complaints we receive, which is not based on those of any particular organisation. If you would like an explanation of a particular category, please contact us.

### Health Complaints Received by Subject 2013-14

	Greater Glasgow & Clyde NHS Board Area										
	Greater										
	Glasgow and	Dentists &		Other FHS	Pharmacists						
	Clyde NHS	Dental	GP & GP	Practitioner	& Pharmacy			Complaints as	Sector		Complaints as
Subject	Board	Practices	Practices	or Practice	Services	Total	Rank	% of total	Total	Rank	% of total
Clinical treatment / Diagnosis	191	6	18	0	1	216	1	71.8%	913	1	66.2%
Communication, staff attitude, dignity, confidentiality	15	0	4	0	0	19	2	6.3%	128	2	9.3%
Appointments/Admissions (delay, cancellation, waiting lists)	14	0	1	0	0	15	3	5.0%	75	3	5.4%
Complaints handling	10	0	2	0	0	12	4	4.0%	43	5	3.1%
Policy/administration	7	0	3	0	0	10	5	3.3%	57	4	4.1%
Nurses / Nursing Care	5	0	0	0	0	5	6	1.7%	15	7=	1.1%
Admission, discharge & transfer procedures	3	0	0	0	0	3	7=	1.0%	26	6	1.9%
Record Keeping	2	0	1	0	0	3	7=	1.0%	10	11	0.7%
Other	2	0	0	0	0	2	9=	0.7%	13	9	0.9%
Lists	0	0	2	0	0	2	9=	0.7%	12	10	0.9%
Continuing care	1	0	0	0	0	1	11=	0.3%	15	7=	1.1%
Appliances, equipment & premises	1	0	0	0	0	1	11=	0.3%	6	13	0.4%
Complaints by NHS staff	1	0	0	0	0	1	11=	0.3%	3	14	0.2%
Hotel services - food, laundry etc	1	0	0	0	0	1	11=	0.3%	1	16=	0.1%
Pre- Contractual or Commercial Matters	1	0	0	0	0	1	11=	0.3%	1	16=	0.1%
Failure to send ambulance/delay in sending ambulance	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0.0%	9	12	0.7%
Hygiene, cleanliness & infection control	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0.0%	2	15	0.1%
Out Of Jurisdiction	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0.0%	2	-	0.1%
Subject Unknown	8	0	0	1	0	9	-	3.0%	48	-	3.5%
Total	262	6	31	1	1	301	-	100.0%	1379	-	100.0%

Complaints as % of total 21.8%

### Health Complaints Received by Subject 2012-13

	Greater Glasgow & Clyde NHS Board Area								
	Greater	D							
	Glasgow and	Dentists &							
	Clyde NHS	Dental	GP & GP			Complaints	Sector		Complaints
Subject	Board	Practices	Practices	Total	Rank	as % of total	Total	Rank	as % of total
Clinical treatment / Diagnosis	82	8	14	104	1	50%	588	1	48%
Communication, staff attitude, dignity, confidentiality	10	0	6	16	2	8%	105	2	8%
Policy/administration	9	0	0	9	3	4%	76	3	6%
Complaints handling	5	2	1	8	4	4%	52	4	4%
Appointments/Admissions (delay, cancellation, waiting lists)	6	0	0	6	5	3%	42	5	3%
Continuing care	4	0	0	4	6	2%	9	9	1%
Appliances, equipment & premises	3	0	0	3	7	1%	10	8	1%
Admission, discharge & transfer procedures	2	0	0	2	8=	1%	21	6	2%
Nurses / Nursing Care	2	0	0	2	8=	1%	8	10=	1%
Other	1	0	1	2	8=	1%	7	12	1%
Lists	0	0	1	1	11=	0%	6	13	0%
Complaints by NHS staff	1	0	0	1	11=	0%	2	14=	0%
Hygiene, cleanliness & infection control	1	0	0	1	11=	0%	2	14=	0%
Record Keeping	0	0	0	0	-	0%	11	7	1%
Failure to send ambulance/delay in sending ambulance	0	0	0	0	-	0%	8	10=	1%
Hotel services - food, laundry etc	0	0	0	0	-	0%	1	16	0%
Out Of Jurisdiction	4	0	0	4	-	2%	20	-	2%
Subject Unknown	45	0	1	46	-	22%	269	-	22%
Total	175	10	24	209		100%	1,237		100%

Complaints as % of Sector Total 16.9%

		Greater Glasgow & Clyde NHS Board Area						1
		Greater Glasgow and	Dentists &		Other FHS	Pharmacists &		
		Clyde NHS	Dental	GP & GP	Practitioner	Pharmacy	l	Sector
Stage	Outcome Group	Board	Practices	Practices	or Practice	Services	Total	Total
Advice	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	5	0	0	0	0	5	25
	Out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	5	0	0	0	0	5	19
	Not duly made or withdrawn	57	0	2	1	0	60	331
	Outcome not achievable	12	1	4	0	1	18	66
	Premature	62	0	0	0	0	62	297
	Resolved	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	141	1	6	1	1	150	740
Early Resolution 1	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	7	0	1	0	0	8	26
	Out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	4	0	2	0	0	6	15
	Not duly made or withdrawn	10	0	2	0	0	12	49
	Outcome not achievable	8	0	1	0	0	9	37
	Premature	10	0	1	0	0	11	53
	Resolved	3	1	1	0	0	5	18
	Total	42	1	8	0	0	51	198
Early Resolution 2	Fully upheld	2	0	2	0	0	4	17
	Some upheld	1	0	0	0	0	1	4
	Not upheld	9	0	2	0	0	11	42
	Not duly made or withdrawn	3	0	0	0	0	3	7
	Total	15	0	4	0	0	19	70
Investigation 1	Fully upheld	10	1	4	0	0	15	73
-	Some upheld	11	0	2	0	0	13	82
	Not upheld	17	3	6	0	0	26	115
	Not duly made or withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Resolved	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Total	38	5	12	0	0	55	278
Investigation 2	Fully upheld	2	0	2	0	0	4	27
-	Some upheld	2	0	0	0	0	2	11
	Not upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	4	0	2	0	0	6	38
Total Complaints		240	7	32	1	1	281	1324
Total Premature Compla	ints	72	0	1	0	0	73	350
Premature Rate		30.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	26.0%	26.4%
Eli 4 ODOO T-4-1 (522	land 8 land)		-	40	^	^	00	200
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2,	'	57	5	18	0	0	80	386
Total Cases Upheld / Pa		28	1	10	0	0	39	214
Uphold Rate (total uphel	d / total tit for SPSO)	49.1%	20.0%	55.6%	-	-	48.8%	55.4%

		Greater Glaso				
		Greater				
		Glasgow and	Dentists &			
		Clyde NHS	Dental	GP & GP		Sector
Stage	Outcome Group	Board	Practices	Practices	Total	Total
Advice	Body out of jurisdiction	0	0	0	0	0
	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	4	0	0	4	18
	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	4	0	0	4	12
	No decision reached	43	0	2	45	298
	Outcome not achievable	1	0	0	1	4
	Premature	36	2	2	40	293
	Total	88	2	4	94	625
Early Resolution 1	Matter out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	3	0	1	4	34
	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	5	0	1	6	20
	No decision reached	3	0	1	4	36
	Outcome not achievable	8	1	1	10	34
	Premature	15	1	2	18	63
	Total	34	2	6	42	187
Early Resolution 2	Fully upheld	2	0	1	3	15
	Partly upheld	0	0	1	1	10
	Not upheld	5	0	2	7	57
	No decision reached	0	0	0	0	1
	Outcome not achievable	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	7	0	4	11	84
nvestigation 1	Fully upheld	7	3	3	13	60
	Partly upheld	14	2	2	18	81
	Not upheld	23	2	2	27	118
	No decision reached	2	0	0	2	8
	Total	46	7	7	60	267
Investigation 2	Fully upheld	5	0	2	7	25
	Partly upheld	3	0	0	3	9
	Not upheld	0	0	0	0	0
	No decision reached	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	8	0	2	10	34
Total Complaints		183	11	23	217	1,197
NOTE: 'No decision r	eached' includes complaints not duly made, withdraw	n and resolved				
Total Premature Complaints		51	3	4	58	356
Premature Rate		27.9%	27.3%	17.4%	26.7%	29.7%
			_			
Fit for SPSO Total (ER2	•	61	7	13	81	385
Total Cases Upheld / Pa	, ,	31	5	9	45	200
Uphold Rate (total uphel	d / total fit for SPSO)	50.8%	71.4%	69.2%	55.6%	51.9%

## Prison Health Care Received by Authority 2013-14

	Greater Glasgow	
	and Clyde NHS	Sector
Subject	Board	Total
Appointments/Admissions (delay, cancellation, waiting lists)	2	8
Clinical treatment / Diagnosis	53	104
Communication, staff attitude, dignity, confidentiality	2	6
Complaints handling	5	8
Nurses / Nursing Care	1	1
Policy/administration	1	2
Total Complaints	64	129

### Prison Health Complaints Closed by Outcome and Authority 2013-14

		Greater Glasgow	
		and Clyde NHS	Sector
Stage	Outcome Group	Board	Total
Advice	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	0	1
	Out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	0	1
	Not duly made or withdrawn	17	34
	Outcome not achievable	2	4
	Premature	21	36
	Total	40	76
Early Resolution 1	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	0	1
	Not duly made or withdrawn	4	5
	Outcome not achievable	0	1
	Premature	2	6
	Resolved	0	1
	Total	6	14
Early Resolution 2	Fully upheld	1	2
	Not upheld	6	8
	Not duly made or withdrawn	1	1
	Total	8	11
Investigation 1	Fully upheld	0	9
	Some upheld	0	3
	Not upheld	3	4
	Not duly made or withdrawn	0	2
	Total	3	18
Investigation 2	Fully upheld	1	3
_	Total	1	3
Total Complaints		58	122

## Prison Health Complaints Received by Subject and Authority 2012-13

	Greater Glasgow	
	and Clyde NHS	Sector
Subject	Board	Total
Appointments/admissions (delay, cancellation, waiting lists)	1	2
Clinical treatment / Diagnosis	12	36
Communication, staff attitude, dignity, confidentiality	0	2
Complaints handling	1	15
Policy/administration	2	7
Total Complaints	16	62

### Prison Health Complaints Determined by Outcome and Authority 2012-13

		Greater Glasgow	
		and Clyde NHS	Sector
Stage	Outcome	Board	Total
Advice	No decision reached	6	15
	Premature	6	21
	Total	12	36
Early Resolution 1	Matter out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	0	1
	Premature	2	6
	Total	2	7
Early Resolution 2	Complaint not upheld	0	3
	No decision reached	0	1
	Total	0	4
Investigation 1	Complaint not upheld	0	2
	No decision reached	0	1
	Total	0	3
Total Complaints		14	50