SPSO decision report



Case:	201707301, Scottish Ambulance Service
Sector:	health
Subject:	failure to send ambulance / delay in sending ambulance
Decision:	upheld, recommendations

Summary

Mr C complained that the ambulance service failed to send an ambulance to him when he phoned to report that he had suffered a collapse at home. When he received a call back from an ambulance service clinical adviser, Mr C reported that he had suffered flashing lights, neck stiffness, headaches for the past three weeks, and that he now also had pins and needles in his right hand side. The ambulance service said that Mr C's reported symptoms did not meet the criteria for an emergency ambulance. However, as Mr C had symptoms for a number of weeks, he did require a medical review and it was agreed that Mr C's sister would transport him to hospital.

We took independent advice from a paramedic and listened to the audio recordings of the phone calls. We found that Mr C's symptoms did not warrant the dispatch of an emergency ambulance and that it was appropriate to arrange for the clinical adviser to phone him back to obtain further information. We found evidence that a number of assumptions had been made by the clinical adviser. At no time did Mr C state that he had had the pins and needles for two weeks but rather that the problems had just started. We found that the clinical adviser did not adequately question Mr C or his sister about how manageable it would be to transport Mr C to hospital, should he suffer another collapse. We also found that insufficient weight had been taken of the severity of Mr C's headache, the visual disturbances, and neck stiffness. We found that it would have been advisable to have dispatched an ambulance crew who would have carried out a face-to-face assessment in Mr C's home and determined the appropriate way to progress matters. We upheld the complaint.

Recommendations

What we asked the organisation to do in this case:

• Apologise to Mr C that assumptions had been made regarding his reported symptoms. The apology should reach the standards set out in the SPSO guidelines on apology available at www.spso.org.uk/leaflets-and-guidance.

What we said should change to put things right in future:

• In carrying out clinical assessments the clinical adviser should give sufficient weight to red flag signs and not make assumptions about the reported symptoms.

We have asked the organisation to provide us with evidence that they have implemented the recommendations we have made on this case by the deadline we set.