## **SPSO** decision report



Case: 202403107, Golden Jubilee National Hospital

Sector: Health

Subject: Clinical treatment / diagnosis

Decision: upheld, recommendations

## **Summary**

C complained about the care and treatment that they received from the board when under the care of orthopaedics (specialists in the treatment of diseases and injuries of the musculoskeletal system) for foot surgery.

We took independent advice from an orthopaedic adviser. We found that the bones of C's toe had been reset in the wrong position and the fixation was unreasonable. We also found that C was unreasonably managed at their first post-operative review, noting that C's x-rays were described as satisfactory which was not the case. The decision to watch and wait was also unreasonable, as by this point a good outcome from the surgery would not have been possible based on the x-rays. We considered that it was unreasonable for the board to discharge C from orthopaedics at the next review appointment when the problem remained unresolved.

There were aspect of C's care and treatment which we found were reasonable, particularly in relation to the three further surgeries C received. However, we recognised that that these had only been necessary due to the failure which had occurred during the original surgery. On balance, we upheld this part of C's complaint.

C also complained about the board's handling of their complaint. We found that the board's response contained factually inaccurate information, that there had been delays in complaint handling and that there had been a failure to update C during this time. We upheld this part of C's complaint.

## Recommendations

What we asked the organisation to do in this case:

• Apologise to C for the failings identified in this report. The apology should meet the standards set out in the SPSO guidelines on apology available at www.spso.org.uk/information-leaflets.

What we said should change to put things right in future:

Patients should receive reasonable surgical care. When an unexpected or unintended incident occurs,
processes should be followed to ensure reporting and learning and improvement takes place. This should
be in line with both statutory duties and in keeping with any additional internal processes relevant to the
incident type.

In relation to complaints handling, we recommended:

Complaint investigations should be managed in accordance with the Model Complaints Handling
Procedure TheModel Complaints Handling Procedures | SPSO. Complaint investigations should fully
investigate the matters of complaint made and identify actions for learning and improvement.